UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/694,925	10/27/2003	Justin Monk	020375-043600US	5092	
	0350 7590 05/16/2008 FOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			EXAMINER	
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			CUFF, MICHAEL A		
EIGHTH FLOO SAN FRANCIS	SCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3627		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/16/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/694,925	MONK, JUSTIN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael Cuff	3627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 A</u>	pril 2008.				
	action is non-final.				
· <u> </u>	/ -				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
·	pane Quayre, 1000 0.21 1.1, 10	3.3.2.3.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-7 and 23-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 and 23-35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
Notice of Draitsperson's Fatent Drawing Review (FTO-948) Statement (s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 and 23-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carlisle et al. in view of Kawan.

Carlisle et al. shows all of the limitations of the claims except for specifying a remote host.

Carlisle et al. shows an i Carlisle et al. shows mproved systems and methods applicable to smart cards in a point-of-sale environment are described in FIGS. 1-14. In general, the point-of-sale transactions work in the following manner. A card holder (i.e., a consumer) selects a plurality of consumer items to be purchased and brings them to a point-of-sale configuration which includes a smart card reader. The consumer items each include a conventional Universal Price Code (UPC) bar code identifier, which may be conceptualized as an item identifier. The consumer inserts the smart card into the smart card reader, thereby activating a sequence of operations for debiting a plurality of accounts. The operational sequence implements the following functions. The Universal Price Code (UPC) of the consumer item to be purchased, i.e., the item identifier, is read by an item identification device, for example, by scanning the bar code of the item or by entering the code manually into a keypad at the point-of-sale terminal. Based upon the

UPC code and one or more application identifiers held on the smart card, the point-ofsale terminal compares the UPC against item tables stored in memory which identify the item's eligibility for debit against one or more of the card holder's accounts. If an item is eligible for more than one account, a debit priority algorithm determines which of the accounts should be debited. The debit priority algorithm may utilize one or more debt allocation tables which, for each of a plurality of item identifiers, sets forth a priority ranking for a plurality of accounts. The comparison of item UPC codes for purchased items to UPC codes stored in the item arrays can be done as the UPC code of each item is entered at the point-of-sale terminal. Alternatively, UPC codes for each individual item can be buffered until all items for a given point-of-sale transaction are entered. The individual items are then debited into individual accounts. Additionally, the card holder is provided with the ability to mix account balance categories (dollars, specific item identification, item quantity, etc.) on a single card for a single transaction corresponding to a plurality of accounts. The functions described in the previous paragraphs will be described in greater detail hereinafter. First, the software operating system of the smart card will be considered.

Kawan teaches a wireless transaction and information system where the smart card and a remote host work in concert together in order to improve security.

Based on the teaching of Kawan, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the Carlisle et al. invention to incorporate the remote host of Kawan in order to improve security.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cuff whose telephone number is (571) 272-6778. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ryan Zeender can be reached on (571) 272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/694,925 Page 5

Art Unit: 3627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael Cuff/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3627